Self-Tuning Intel TSX 3rd Euro-TM Workshop on Transactional Memory

Nuno Diegues and Paolo Romano





to appear on the 11th USENIX ICAC 2014

_xbegin

// your transactional code _xend

_xbegin // your transactional code _xend May Abort

_xbegin // your transactional code _xend May Abort

- Data contention
- Forbidden instructions
- Hardware buffers' capacity
- Signals and faults



- Data contention
- Forbidden instructions
- Hardware buffers' capacity
- Signals and faults

Best-effort nature we cannot rely exclusively on TSX



begin: unsigned int status = _xbegin if (status == ok) goto code

goto begin

code:
// your transactional code

_xend



begin: unsigned int status = _xbegin if (status == ok) goto code // fast path



goto begin

code:
// your transactional code

_xend // fast path

begin: unsigned int status = _xbegin if (status == ok) goto code // fast path if (shouldRetry) // retry policy goto begin



code:
// your transactional code

if (shouldRetry)
_xend // fast path

```
begin:
unsigned int status = _xbegin
if (status == ok)
  goto code // fast path
if (shouldRetry) // retry policy
  goto begin
else
   acquire(lock) // fallback
```

code:

// your transactional code

if (shouldRetry)
 _xend // fast path
else
 release(lock) // fallback



```
begin:
unsigned int status = xbegin
if (status == ok)
  qoto code // fast path
if (shouldRetry) // retry policy
  goto begin
else
  acquire(lock) // fallback
                              Transactions need
code:
                              to be aware of this
// your transactional code
if (shouldRetry)
  xend
                 // fast path
else
```

release(lock) // fallback



Summary of issues

- Lemming effect
- Number of attempts
- Retry policy
- Management of fall-back

Summary of issues



Genome from STAMP suite

Number of attempts

Kmeans from STAMP



Number of attempts

Kmeans from STAMP





#attempts

tuning the number of attempts





randomly search some direction; explore it while profitable



randomly search some direction; explore it while profitable



tuning the number of attempts



randomly search some direction; explore it while profitable





randomly search some direction; explore it while profitable revert direction when not profitable

tuning the number of attempts



randomly search some direction; explore it while profitable revert direction when not profitable

tuning the number of attempts



tuning the number of attempts



tuning the number of attempts





Retry policy

- Give up on capacity aborts?
- How should we "consume" the attempts' budget?
- How to manage the fall-back?

Retry policy

Reinforcement learning Upper Confidence Bound

UCB tuning the retry policy





A quest for exploration vs benefit from current knowledge



A quest for **exploration** vs **benefit** from current knowledge

UCB adapts the strategy to maximize reward Logarithmic bound on the optimization error

UCB tuning the retry policy

Model the belief about capacity aborts:

- giveup exhaust attempts
- half drops half the attempts
- stubborn decrements attempts

Reward: function of processor cycles (RDTSC)

Adaptation of one atomic block in Yada



re-optimization rounds

Adaptation of one atomic block in Yada





re-optimization rounds

Transparency to the User



Transparency to the User



Transparency to the User



Summary of Evaluation

Algorithms	threads				
	2	4	6	8	
GCC					
HEURISTIC					
AdaptiveLocks					
TUNER					
Best Variant					

Summary of Evaluation

Algorithms	threads				
	2	4	6	8	
GCC	1.25	1.74	1.51	1.29	
HEURISTIC	1.46	2.01	1.37	1.28	
AdaptiveLocks	1.26	1.19	1.10	1.11	
TUNER	1.46	2.25	2.34	2.54	
Best Variant	1.51	2.35	2.41	2.66	

Peek view on results

Intruder from STAMP



Peek view on results

Yada with 8 threads



Summary

- Best-effort HTMs need proper tuning
- No one-size fits all
- We used lightweight exploration/learning techniques
- Transparent to the programmer

Thank you! Questions?

Self-Tuning Intel TSX Nuno Diegues and Paolo Romano inescid

to appear on the 11th USENIX ICAC 2014